

The April 23, 2003 Amendment, on page 3 cancels claims 13 and 17. Claims 14-16 remain in the application.

By notice dated June 19, 2003, Applicant was informed that the Amendment dated April 23, 2003 was not fully responsive because it failed to address and argue the office action rejection of claims 14-17 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b).

Applicant concurs that it omitted to argue the Marsh reference in relation to claims 14-17. In response, Applicant notes that Marsh discloses a churn device with inner frame BB and agitator C. Only agitator C moves, see, e.g. Marsh, the entire second column. Accordingly, Marsh is relevant only in respect to rotating agitator C. Agitator C, however, fails to teach or disclose a shank having a riser member at its end section, which is the requirement of claim 14.

Claim 14 is therefore allowable over Marsh.

Claims 15-16 depend from claim 14 and are also allowable.

In summary, each of the claims 1-11, 14-16, 18-28, and 32-33 are in the application and are believed to be in condition for allowance.

1f the Examiner believes personal contact would be helpful for disposition

of the case, the Examiner is hereby authorized to contact applicants' representative Richard F. Spooner at (585) 423-5324, Rochester, New York.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard F. Spooner Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 43,928

(585) 423-5324

RFS/pn **Xerox Corporation** Xerox Square 20A Rochester, New York 14644